

CLAUSE 1, Etc.

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—The question is :

“ That Clause 1, the Title and the Preamble do stand part of the Bill.”

*The Motion was adopted.*

Clause 1, the Title and the Preamble were added to the Bill.

*Motion to pass.*

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.— I beg to move :

“ That the Arasikere Town Municipality (Extension of Limits) Bill, 1967, be passed.”

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—The question is :

“ That the Arasikere Town Municipality (Extension of Limits) Bill, 1967, be passed.”

*The motion was adopted.*

## MYSORE ABOLITION OF CASH GRANTS BILL, 1967.

*Motion to Consider.*

Sri B. RACHAIAH (Minister for Revenue and Forests).—I beg to move :

“ That the Mysore (Abolition of Cash Grants) Bill, 1967, be taken into consideration.”

Mr. CHAIRMAN.—Motion moved :

“ That the Mysore (Abolition of Cash Grants) Bill, 1967, be taken into consideration.”

(MR. SPEAKER in the Chair)

Mr. SPEAKER.—I must inform the House that for this Bill the recommendation of the Governor has been received.

Sri B. RACHAIAH.—Sir, this is a very simple and non-controversial Bill intended to discontinue certain classes of cash grants in the Hyderabad area of Mysore State. This is on the model of the Hyderabad Abolition of Cash Grants Act, 1952. In the Hyderabad area the former Government introduced a Bill in 1952 abolishing certain classes of cash grants as mentioned in tables 1 to 3. At that time the Bill was

challenged in the Hyderabad High Court because there was no provision made for payment of compensation to the ex-holders of these Sanads. So the Bill was struck down. An appeal was made to the Supreme Court. In the meanwhile reorganisation of State took place and the Andhra Pradesh Government withdrew that Bill and enacted the Andhra Pradesh (Abolition of Cash Grants) Bill, 1959, providing compensation for these classes of people. Since at that time these cases were pending before the Andhra Pradesh Government and now since these area have come to Mysore State the question of discontinuing these cash grants to these classes of people as mentioned in the table has been engaging the attention of this Government. This Bill has been tabled on the model of the same Bill. This Bill when it is passed will have retrospective effect from 1952 and in the case of B class grants from 1954, the dates from which those acts came into existence. This is the old system of cash grants being paid by the Nawabs, Nizams and other rules in the former State of Hyderabad. This is sought to be abolished. It is not prevailing in other parts of the State and so Government feels that these cash grant should be abolished forthwith. I hope that the members of the Opposition will welcome such a measure and give their unanimous support for the abolition of these cash grants.

With these remarks I commend the Bill for the acceptance of the House.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿದ್ದಯ್ಯ ಕಾಶಿಮಠ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಈ ಕ್ಯಾಶ್ ಗ್ರಾಂಟ್ ಅಬೋಲಿಷನ್ ಮಾಡುವುದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಬೊಕ್ಕಸಕ್ಕೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಉಳಿತಾಯವಾಗುತ್ತದೆಂದು ಮತ್ತು ಕಂಪೆನ್ಸೇಶನ್ ಎಷ್ಟು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿಲ್ಲ. ಅದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರೆ ಒಳ್ಳೆಯದಾಗುತ್ತಿತ್ತು.

Sri B. RACHAIAH.—The amount required for payment of compensation is indicated in the financial memorandum. From 1952 and 1964 we have not been paying anything. Since the former Acts which provide for payment of these cash grants have been repealed from 1952 and 1954, in this part of the State payments have not been made from that date. So this Bill seeks to ratify the action taken.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It is only setting matters right.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ನಾಗಪ್ಪ (ರಾಯಚೂರು).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ನಾನು ಈ ಬಿಲ್ಲನ್ನು ಸ್ವಾಗತಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ; ಆದರೂ ಕೆಲವು ವಿಷಯಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಈ ಬಿಲ್ಲನ್ನು ಟೀಕಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಮುಖ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಕ್ಯಾಶ್ 3 ನಡ್ ಕ್ಯಾಶ್ (2) ರಲ್ಲಿ ಮೊದಲಿನ ಹೈದರಾಬಾದ್ ಆಕ್ಟ್ ಏನಿದೆ, ಅದಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಪ್ರಗತಿಪರವಾಗಿ ಮಾಡುವ ಬದಲಾಗಿ ಇಂಥ ಅಪ್ರಗತಿಪರ ಬಿಲ್ಲು ಮಂಡಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದರಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಪಾರ್ಟ್ 'ಎ' ಮತ್ತು 'ಸಿ' ನಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ರೀತಿಯ ಕಾಂಪೆನ್ಸೇಷನ್ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ತಾವು ಈಗ ಈ ಬಿಲ್ಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಂಪೆನ್ಸೇಷನ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಈಗ ತಾವು ಹೇಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದೀರಿ, ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಹೈಕೋರ್ಟ್ ರೂಲಿಂಗ್ ಇತ್ತು, ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇವೆಂದು. ಆದರೆ ಕೊಡುವ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಆದರೆ ತಾವು ಈಗ ಕೊಡಕ್ಕಂಥ ಕಾಂಪೆನ್ಸೇಷನ್ ಏನಿದೆ ಅದನ್ನು Rehabilitation ಮಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಮಾತ್ರ, (Living) ಜೀವನದ ಸಲುವಾಗಿ ಎಂದು 4-4 ಮತ್ತು 6-6 ಪಟ್ಟಿ ಈ ಬಿಲ್ಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಇದು ಅನುಮಂಜನವಾಗಿದೆ. ಮುಂದೆ ಇದರಿಂದ ನಮ್ಮ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಹಣದ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆಂದು ಹೇಳಬಯಸುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈ ಬಿಲ್ಲನ್ನು ಮಂಡಿಸುವ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಇತರ ಕ್ಯಾಶ್ ಗ್ರಾಂಟ್ಸ್ ಹುಡ್ಡುಗಳು ಉಳಿದುಬಿಡುತ್ತದೆಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಹೈದರಾಬಾದ್ Atiyat Act of 1952 ನೋಡಿದರೆ ಕ್ಯಾಶ್ ಗ್ರಾಂಟ್ಸ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಇನ್ನೂ ಕೆಲವು

(ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ನಾಗಪ್ಪ)

ಹೆಸರುಗಳಿವೆ. ಅವನ್ನು ಸಹ ಅದಾಲಪನ್ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಕಾಲವಾಗಿತ್ತು, ಆ ಕ್ಯಾಷ್‌ಗ್ರಾಂಟನ್ನು ಸೇರಿಸಿ ಅದಾಲಪ್ ಮಾಡಲಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಈ ಮಸೂದೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದರೆ ಇನ್ನೂ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಅನುಕೂಲ ವಾಗುತ್ತಿತ್ತು. ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಇನ್ನೂ ಒಂದು ವಿಶೇಷವಾದ ಸಂಗತಿಯನ್ನು ಹೇಳಬೇಕಾದರೆ :

“For the removal of doubts, it is hereby declared that the provisions of Section 5 of the Hyderabad Atiyat Enquiries Act, 1952 (Hyderabad Act X of 1952), as in force in the Hyderabad Area, shall apply to the cash grants continued by this Act as they apply to Atiyat grants under that Act.”

ಎನ್ನತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಪ್ರಾವಿಷನ್‌ನ್ನು ಏತಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ತಂದಿದ್ದೀರೋ; ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ತೋರಿಸಿದ ಹುದ್ದೆಗಳನ್ನು ನಿರ್ಮೂಲ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಈ ಪ್ರಾವಿಷನ್ ಅನಾವಶ್ಯಕವೆಂದು ಕಾಣುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ಕಾಂಪನ್‌ಸೇಷನ್ ಮಸೂದೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತೋರಿಸಿರುವುದನ್ನು ತೀವ್ರವಾಗಿ ಬಂಡಿಸಿ, ಅದು ಬಹಳ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನದಾಗಿದೆ, ಅಷ್ಟು ಕೊಡುವುದು ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲವೆಂದು, ಅದನ್ನು ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ನಿರ್ಮೂಲ ಮಾಡಲಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ನನ್ನ ವಿರೋಧವನ್ನು ಸೂಚಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Sri B. RACHIAH.—As I have already said this has been prepared on the model of the Hyderabad Abolition of Cash Grants Act 1959 and nothing more. So far as some other cash grants are concerned, I have examined them. These Cash grants are covered in the agreement. The Parliament is competent to enact for such abolition of cash grants. So far as the Mysore State is concerned we are well within our powers for abolition of Cash grants.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

“That Mysore (Abolition of Cash Grants) Bill, 1967 be taken into consideration.”

*The motion was adopted.*

#### CLAUSES 2 AND 3

Mr. SPEAKER.—There are no amendments. The question is :

“That Clauses 2 and 3 stand part of the Bill.”

*The motion was adopted.*

Clauses 2 and 3 were added to the Bill.

#### CLAUSE 4

Mr. SPEAKER.—There is an amendment.

Sri M. NAGAPPA.—I beg to move :

(1) “That at page 3 in lines 11 and 17 in items (i) and (iii) of sub-clause (2) the words ‘Four times’ may be deleted.

(2) “That at page 3 in line 14, item (ii) of sub-clause (2) for the words ‘six times’ the words ‘two times’ shall be substituted.”

**Sri K. H. PATIL.**—I would like to raise a point that no Bill shall be allowed to go without discussion and shall be declared passed without giving an opportunity for discussing it. Arsikere Town Bill has been done so.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—The Hon'ble Member says opportunity should be given for discussion. But if no member gets up to speak what am I to do? If any body wants to speak on any Bill, nobody will obstruct him. That is why we are sitting here. Shall I compel the members to participate in the debate?

Amendment moved :

“That in clause 4 at page 3 in lines 11 and 17 in items (i) and (iii) of Sub-clause (2) the words ‘four times’ may be deleted.

(ii) “That in clause 4 at page 3 in line 14, item (ii) of sub-clause (2) for the words ‘six times’ the words ‘two times’ shall be substituted.”

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ನಾಗಪ್ಪ ಬಸಪ್ಪ.**—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರೇ, ಈಗ ಕೊಡತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಕಾಂಪೆನ್ಸೇಷನ್ ಬಹಳ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿ ಇದೆ. ಇದನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಕೊಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು ನಯಲ್ಲ. ರೀಹ್ಯಾಬಿಲಿಟೇಷನ್ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು. ಯಾಕೆಂದರೆ ಇವರಿಂದ ಹಣಕಾಸಿನ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿಯ ಮೇಲೆ ಪರಿಣಾಮವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಅದುದರಿಂದ ನನ್ನ ಅಪೊಂಡಮೆಂಟನ್ನು ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಒಪ್ಪುತ್ತಾರೆ ಹಾಗೂ ಇದನ್ನು ಸಭೆಯು ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

**Sri B. RACHIAH.**—Sir, as I have told earlier, this is on the model of the Andhra Pradesh Act of 1959 wherein they have provided for the payment of compensation four times and six times and this Act will come into effect from 1952-54. I am not adding anything of my own into this. It will be inequitable for people who have come here, if they do not get what is given to those people in Andhra.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ನಾಗಪ್ಪ.**—ಹೈದರಾಬಾದ್ ಏರಿಯಾದಿಂದ ಮಹಾರಾಷ್ಟ್ರ ರಾಜ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗಿರುವ ಏರಿಯಾದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮಹಾರಾಷ್ಟ್ರ ಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಯಾವ ರೀತಿ ಬಿಲ್ಲು ಮಂಡಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಹೇಳಬಹುದು.

**Sri B. RACHIAH.**—We are not reducing the quantum of compensation or increasing it. This Bill is based on the Andhra Act of 1959.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಪಿ. ಗಂಗಾಧರ.**—ಯಾರಾದರೂ ವಾಡಿದವನ್ನು ನಾವು ಕಾಪಿ ಏತಕ್ಕೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು? ಅದೇನೂ ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆಯಿಲ್ಲ. ಕೊಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದನ್ನು ಇಕ್ವಿಟೇಬಲ್ ಆಗಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು. ಮತ್ತೊಬ್ಬರ ದನ್ನು ಕಾಪಿ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗದಾರದು. ಸರಕಾರ ಇವರಲ್ಲಿ ಹಣ ಗುಳಿಸಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

**Sri B. RACHIAH.**—But under the constitution, reasonable compensation will have to be paid. Government feels that this is a reasonable compensation.

So, I am not accepting the amendment of the Hon. Member Sir.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—I will put the amendments to the vote of the House. The question is :

“That at page 3 in lines 11 and 17 in items (i) and (iii) of sub-clause (2) the words ‘Four times’ may be deleted.”

*The amendment was negatived.*

Mr. SPEAKER.—Second amendment : The question is :

“That at page 3 in line 14, item (ii) of sub-clause (2) for the words ‘Six times’ the words ‘Two times’ shall be substituted.”

*The amendment was negatived.*

Mr. SPEAKER.—I will put the clause. The question is :

“That clause 4 stand part of the Bill.”

*The motion was adopted.*

Clause 4 was added to the Bill,

#### CLAUSES 5 and 7

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

“That clauses 5 and 7 both inclusive stand part of the Bill.”

*The motion was adopted.*

Clauses 5 and 7, both inclusive were added to the Bill.

#### THE SCHEDULE

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

“That the schedule stand part of the Bill.”

*The motion was adopted.*

The Schedule was added to the Bill.

#### CLAUSE 1, TITLE AND PREAMBLE

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

“That Clause 1, the title and the Preamble stand part of the Bill.”

*The motion was adopted.*

Clause 1, the Title and the Preamble were added to the Bill.

#### *Motion to Pass*

Sri B. RACHAIAH.—Sir, I move ;

“That the Mysore (Abolition of Cash Grants) Bill 1967 be passed.”

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

“That the Mysore (Abolition of Cash Grants) Bill, 1967 be passed.”

*The motion was adopted.*